UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,110	11/18/2003	Masaki Matsumoto	024629-00007	3984
4372 ARENT FOX I	7590 10/09/200 LLP	EXAMINER		
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			KARDOS, NEIL R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent_Mail@arentfox.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/715,110	MATSUMOTO E	T AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Neil R. Kardos	3623					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Beth Boswell</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Ms. Gray</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>05 September 2008</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>n/a</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Ms. Gray in the docketing department indicated that no futher action would be taken on the application</u> . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims							
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO							
FILE A STATEMENT OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTER requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
	/Neil R. Kardos/						